

# Pakistan's 'Pashtun Spring' faces off against a colonial-era law



*A major hurdle facing the residents of Pakistan's Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA) is the century-old colonial law that still governs it—the 1901 Frontier Crimes Regulation (FCR). **Harrison Akins** gives a brief overview of the FCR's history and structure, followed by an analysis of the current opposition to the government's plan for reforming FATA's legal framework.*

Emerging from the turmoil of over a decade of Taliban attacks, military operations, and U.S. drone strikes, tribesmen from Pakistan's Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA) are now making their voices heard in a movement lauded as the "[Pashtun Spring](#)". With over 1.4 million people re-settled since 2009 following years of displacement, many innocent Pashtun have felt stereotyped and targeted by the government, as their homeland remains affected by extremism. This fact was laid bare by the January 2018 killing by police of a Pashtun shopkeeper Naqeebulah Mehsud in Karachi. In response, thousands of young Pashtun marched in the streets of Islamabad, dubbed the "[Pashtun Long March](#)", clamouring for justice and their full rights as Pakistani citizens.

However, a major hurdle facing the residents of this border region is the century-old colonial law that still governs it—the 1901 Frontier Crimes Regulation (FCR). The FCR severely curbs FATA inhabitants' rights, permitting the government to enact collective punishment, displace villages without compensation, and arrest individuals for up to three years without charges. Nasirullah Khan Wazir of the Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf party and from South Waziristan Agency [called](#) the FCR "a black law dating back to the colonial era...It is inhuman and tribesmen have consistently been rejecting it. Tribesmen no longer want to live under its tyranny." This essay will provide a brief overview of the FCR's history and structure, followed by a discussion of the current opposition to the government's plan for reforming FATA's legal framework.



*At FATA Declaration media briefing in July 2013 at Islamabad, Pakistan. The tension between the elders and the youth date back decades. The younger generation has, however, opposed this position and pushed for FATA's expedited integration into KP. Image Credit: [FATA Reforms/Flickr](#)/CC BY-SA 2.0*

Set amidst the Great Game in which the UK and Russia jockeyed for position in Central Asia, the British implemented the FCR in 1901 strictly to maintain law and order in the strategic northwest border region with Afghanistan and keep its tribes 'on side'. The law maintained the internal autonomy and customs of the Pashtun tribes, legally recognising the authority of the *malik* (elder) within the *jirga* (council of elders) to make decisions and providing them with an allowance. The colonial authorities also dispatched a political agent (PA) to represent British interests. Granted almost unlimited legal authority, the PA simultaneously served as chief of police, judge, and executioner, backed by the ever-present threat of military force. Given the limitations of government presence in the mountainous region, however, the PA found it necessary to work through tribal structures, further strengthening the role of the *malik*.

At the creation of Pakistan in 1947, the FCR was maintained within FATA, despite its anti-democratic provisions. The government feared disrupting the status quo of the region given concerns over relations with Afghanistan, which had declared the Durand Line invalid and laid claim to Pakistan's Pashtun regions, allying with India in the process. FCR within Pakistan It denied FATA residents their basic political and legal rights—appeal, *wakeel* (lawyer), and *daleel* (argument)—the right to appeal a conviction, the right to legal representation, and the right to present evidence. Universal suffrage was only introduced in 1997. Before this, only recognised *maliks* were allowed to vote. [Derided](#) by human and civil rights groups, the FCR left FATA in an enforced stagnation, limiting development and economic opportunities.

In November 2015, the former Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif formed the FATA Reforms Committee, recommending a [five-year plan](#) to repeal the FCR and merge FATA with the neighbouring Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP) Province. Coming in the wake of a string of "[Go, FCR, Go](#)" protests calling for the implementation of the Committee's recommendations, the Pakistani federal cabinet [approved](#) the plan in March 2017.

Despite consensus on the FCR's repeal, the process is finding roadblocks from entrenched political interests and disagreements about how the post-FCR political order should look. In particular, tribal elders and political parties active in the region have opposed the merger with KP given their entrenched political interests, which a merger would threaten. They have, instead, advocated for FATA being given the status of a separate province. In November 2017, the Jamiat Ulema-e-Islam Fazul (JUI-F) chief, Maulana Fazlur Rehman, [argued](#), "Outsiders should not be given the right to decide the fate of tribal people. I am of the firm opinion that a referendum should be held to decide the future status of FATA instead of merging it with KP." JUI-F Senator Hafiz Hamdullah Jan [reiterated](#) the following month at a public meeting, "The JUI-F believes that separate province of FATA is the best solution to the problems of tribesmen and no one would be allowed to indulge in political point-scoring on this issue."

The younger generation has, however, opposed this position and pushed for FATA's expedited integration into KP. The tension between the elders and the youth date back decades. Anthropologist Akbar Ahmed, who served as political agent of South Waziristan Agency from 1978-1980, recognised during his tenure the [distinction](#) between the privileged elders and the "political have nots". As early as the 1970s, the disadvantaged *kashar* (youth) were clamouring for a greater political voice in the face of elders reluctant to share their status and the personal benefits that accrued to them.

Much of the younger generation today, as noted by Pakistani journalist Rehmat Mehsud from South Waziristan Agency, grew up outside of FATA while their families were displaced, seeing the opportunities available to the broader nation. Mehsud told me, "After getting education at urban centres of Pakistan, now, they are demanding the same facilities back at home [and] now asking questions as to why facilities are not available to them being enjoyed in the rest of country. They want a total repeal of FCR, access to justice system and all constitutional rights." The All FATA Students Forum (AFSF), along with the All FATA Political Parties Alliance, has [called](#) for the integration of FATA into KP by the 2018 general elections, scheduled for July. These groups have similarly expressed doubt over the government's five-year plan for gradual reform, which would replace the FCR with the Riwaj Act. Under this new law, the *jirga* system would continue to be recognised with critics fearing it could simply become another version of the FCR.

The initial underlying philosophy of the FCR was to promote the interests of distant capitals while neglecting the needs of the local population. As FATA residents begin to rebuild their lives after a decade of conflict, the government should embrace democratic principles in repealing the undemocratic FCR by incorporating FATA residents into the decision-making process, promoting their political agency. As political interests within FATA clash, this transition will not be without difficulty, especially with the government continuing to fight militancy in the region. However, this would be keeping with the vision of Muhammad Ali Jinnah, Pakistan's founding father, who had assured the tribesmen: "We want to put you on your legs as self-respecting citizens who have the opportunities of fully developing and producing what is best in you and your land."

*This article gives the views of the author, and not the position of the South Asia @ LSE blog, nor of the London School of Economics. Please read our comments policy before posting.*

#### About The Author



**Harrison Akins** is an LSE alum (MSc '10) and currently a graduate research fellow at the University of Tennessee's Howard H. Baker, Jr. Center for Public Policy.