

More Next Blog»



## Spatial Economics Research Centre

Thursday, 12 November 2009

## **Manchester-Leeds Linkages**

We launched our research for the NW on links between Manchester and Leeds yesterday.

The research finds that closer integration between Manchester and Leeds could bring economic benefits to much of the North. However, any impact would depend on changes in the industrial structure and in the composition and skills levels of the population. My worry is that such changes may be mostly compositional (i.e higher educated workers moving in to the two cities) in which case existing residents who don't up-skill could actually be wors off (because rising average incomes might drive up e.g. house prices).

That worry brings us back, yet again, to the question of whether people or places are the most crucial objective for policy. For some policy makers raisi average incomes in the North is a suitable objective even if that just comes from moving already better paid workers around. As I have said before, I think the main focus should be on directly helping the disadvantaged.

But if you are someone who believes in helping places per se, focusing on relatively successful places within less successful regions at least has the advantage that you are working more with market forces than against it. Manchester and Leeds certainly meet those criteria so focusing interventions improving the performance of those two economies may not be such a bad thing, *conditional* on existing government objectives.

Posted by Prof Henry G. Overman on Thursday, November 12, 2009

G+1 Recommend this on Google

## No comments:

Post a Comment

Newer Post Home Older Po

Subscribe to: Post Comments (Atom)

| 30/06/2017 | SERC: Spatial Economics Research Centre: Manchester-Leeds Linkages |                                   |
|------------|--|-----------------------------------|
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  | Simple theme. Powered by Blogger. |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |
|            |  |                                   |